



APPLICANTS:

Eric P. ORGERON, et al.

DATE: December 1, 2003

**SERIAL NO.:** 

09/779,169

**GROUP ART UNIT: 3643** 

FILED:

8 February 2001

EXAMINER: Susan L. Piascik

RECEIVED
DEC 1 6 2003
GROUP 3600

FOR:

"Fishing Lure"

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: A99274US (98062.3)

## **POST RULE 116 RESPONSE**

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a response to the Office Action dated 30 July 2003. A shortened statutory period was set to expire three months from the date of the Office Action, making a response due by 30 October 2003. A one-month extension of time accompanies this Response, extending the deadline to 30 November 2003.

## REMARKS

Claims 18-24, 26, 27, and 31-34 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Brokaw.

Brokaw's design with a tubular member extending angularly upward and outward from the middle portion of the lure body towards the head section of said body which would create about a 20° incline passage way that would place the line entrance port of said tube at the farthest forward point in the lure body predisposing his design to hang in a vertical position in the water, thereby negating the lure's ability to mimic the natural position of live bait which is generally in a horizontal position. Although Brokaw teaches a body comprising an opening forward of the tail and generally between the head and tail his design does not anticipate that a weighted tubular member placed in a vertical position in the head section of the lure body provides an effective counter balancing mechanism that offsets the weight that is applied to the 12/08/2003 AWONDAF1 00000048 09779169

55.00 OP

01 FC:2251